

Connecticut Virtual Net Metering Program

IREC developed the National Shared Renewables Scorecard to provide policymakers, regulators, and other stakeholders with a tool for evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of state shared renewable energy programs. IREC graded **Connecticut's Virtual Net Metering Program** using the Scorecard's full criteria* and found that the program currently receives a **C** because it **lacks many of the key components necessary for program success**.

KEY	One star (★) indicates an especially important criterion for a state program. Two stars (★★) indicate one of the most heavily weighted and critical criteria for program success.
------------	--

Category	Criterion	Description	Program Grade
General Program Details	Aggregate Capacity Limit	Does the program have an unlimited aggregate capacity ?	✗
	Tracking & Reporting Requirements	★ Does the program specifically require the utility or other relevant entity to collect and make publicly available data regarding installed and queued capacity (e.g., via regularly updated public queue or annual public reports)?	✗
	Low- to Moderate-Income (LMI) Consumer Participation	★ Does the program have specific component(s) to promote LMI customer participation (e.g., capacity carve-out or target)?	✗
		Does the program explicitly address financial barriers faced by LMI participants (e.g., incentives or on-bill financing)?	✗
		Does the program have specific requirements regarding LMI customer marketing, education and outreach ?	✗
Customers and Subscriptions	Eligibility	Are all customer classes eligible for the program?	✗
	Portability	★ Does the program explicitly permit portability (i.e., allows participants to move within the utility service territory and take their subscription with them)?	✗
	Transferability	★ Does the program explicitly permit transferability (i.e., allows participants to leave the program or service territory and transfer their subscriptions to others)?	✓
Generation Systems	System Capacity Limit	Is the system size limit at least 5 megawatts (MW) ?	✗
	Siting Requirements	★★ Does the program allow both on-site and off-site facilities?	✓
		Can the facility and customers be located anywhere within the utility service territory?	✓
		Do the program rules explicitly address whether facilities can be co-located ?	✗
Ownership & Management	Are third-party facility ownership and management permitted?	✓	
Bill Credits	Valuation	★★ Is the bill credit valuation (whether embedded cost, value-based or other) above the short-term avoided energy cost rate for the utility?	✓
		★ Is the valuation methodology clearly articulated in the statute, rule and/or tariff?	✓
	Unsubscribed Generation	Is unsubscribed generation clearly treated and valued at least at an avoided cost rate?	✓
Renewable Energy Credits (RECs)	Subscribed RECs	Are subscribed RECs clearly treated?	✗
	Unsubscribed RECs	Are unsubscribed RECs clearly treated?	✗
State Program Grade:			C

Program Strengths

- ✓ **Values shared renewable energy generation at a rate above the short-term avoided energy cost rate for the utility.** This is one of the most heavily weighted, critical criteria for program success.
- ✓ **Allows shared renewable energy facilities to be located either on-site or off-site.** This is another heavily weighted criterion.
- ✓ Explicitly permits customers to transfer their subscriptions if they leave the program or service territory.

Opportunities for Improvement

- **Add specific components to promote access for low- to moderate-income customer participation, including components related to financing, marketing, education or outreach.** The current program does not have any such components.
- **Add specific tracking and reporting requirements for data regarding installed and queued capacity.** The current program does not require the utility or other relevant entity to collect and make publicly available such data.
- **Increase the system size limit to at least 5 megawatts.** Currently, the system capacity limit is 3 megawatts.
- **Explicitly permit customers to keep their subscriptions if they move within the same utility's service territory.** Portability is not addressed in the current rules.

*Note: The criteria above are a subset of the full criteria used to arrive at the state grades, but reflect the most substantial program design components. For more information about the Scorecard, including the full criteria applied to grade state programs and definitions for many of the terms within the criteria, see <https://sharedrenewablescorecard.org/>. May 2017